Notas de História Natural & Distribuição Geográfica

Micrurus frontalis (Serpentes: Elapidae): ingestion of a large prey

Silvia Regina Travaglia-Cardoso[1*], Giuseppe Puorto[1]

1 Museu Biológico, Instituto Butantan, 05503-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.
* Corresponding author: silvia.cardoso@butantan.gov.br

The South American snake species *Micrurus frontalis* has a wide geographic range. In Brazil it is widely distributed throughout the Atlantic Forest and Cerrado and associated environments (Nogueira et al., 2019) and can be found both in forests and disturbed areas (Silva Jr. & Sites Jr., 1999). Like most *Micrurus* species, *M. frontalis* inhabits the uppermost layer of soil and litter, and is mainly crepuscular, despite some records of activity during the day (Almeida et al., 2016). The species feeds on snakes, legless lizards, and mostly amphisbaenids (see references in Almeida et al., 2016), with occasional records of ingestion of other lizards (Maffei et al., 2009). In this note, we report a case of predation of a large (heavy) specimen of the fossorial species *Amphisbaena dubia* by a *M. frontalis*.

A male *Micrurus frontalis* (snout-vent length [SVL], 490 mm; tail length [TL], 30 mm; mass, 16 g) was collected on 19 May 2014 in Joanópolis (22°55′51″ S, 46°16′35″ W), state of São Paulo, Brazil. After capture, the snake regurgitated one poorly digested specimen of *Amphisbaena dubia* (female; SVL, 195 mm; TL, 17 mm; total length [ToL], 212 mm; mass, 14.2 g immediately after regurgitation) (Fig. 1). The prey had been ingested headfirst, as often reported in feeding *Micrurus*, although in *M. corallinus*, 41% of ingestions of amphisbaenids are tail first, probably due to underground feeding (Marques & Sazima, 1997). The prey / predator mass ratio was 0.89 and prey ToL / predator SVL ratio was 0.43. At the time of ingestion, the *A. dubia* possibly weighed more than after being regurgitated, which would increase prey / predator mass ratio.

To confirm the identification of the prey, we used a matrix of meristic characters commonly used in the identification of South American Amphisbaena (Van-

zolini, 2002): the number of preanal pores, body annuli, tail annuli, and number of dorsal and ventral segments to a midbody annulus. The specimen of *Amphisbaena dubia* was deposited in the Herpetological Collection of the Instituto Butantan (IBSPCR 1127) and the *M. frontalis* has been kept alive for venom production at the same institution.

Amphisbaenids are frequent prey in the diet of *Micrurus*; however, due to the preservation condition of the prey it is not always possible to identify the species ingested (Sazima & Abe, 1991; Marques & Sazima, 1997; Silva Jr. & Aird, 2001; Marques, 2002; Almeida et al., 2016; Rodríguez et al., 2018). The ingestion of *A. dubia* by *Micrurus* has been reported for *M. altirostris* (Aguiar, 2008), *M. corallinus* (Marques & Sazima, 1997), *M. decoratus* (Terribile & Silva Jr., 2005), *M. lemniscatus* (Sazima & Abe, 1991), as well as *M. frontalis* (Sazima & Abe, 1991).

Micrurus snakes can ingest large prey (Almeida et al., 2016), but generally ingest small and medium sized prey (Greene, 1997; Marques & Sazima, 1997; Aguiar, 2008). For *Micrurus*, most information available show the prey ToL / predator SVL ratio (Marques, 1992; Aguiar, 2008; Ávila et al., 2010; Souza et al., 2011; Arévalo-Páez, et al., 2015; Banci et al., 2017). The prey / predator mass ratio is rarely reported, probably due to the difficulty in obtaining the weight of the prey consumed, often regurgitated semi-digested or analyzed after dissection of the predator in scientific collections.

The ingestion reported here is relevant due to the high prey / predator mass ratio (0.89). The literature reports the prey / predator mass ratio of 0.33 for *M. ancoralis* (Cisneros-Heredia, 2005), and 0.52 for *M. ibiboboca* (ratio calculated from the prey and predator weight information reported by the authors) (Barbosa et al., 2019). For *M. frontalis* the literature reports a prey / predator mass ratio of 0.32 (Marques et al., 2017) and 0.33 (ratio calculated from the prey and predator weight information reported by the authors) (Maffei et al., 2009), while prey / predator ratios obtained from 64 feedings of live prey to a captive specimen ranged from 0.03 to 0.56 (SRTC, unpublished data).

The ingestion of large prey requires more time for ingestion and decreases the defense ability after ingestion, increasing the vulnerability and the risk of predation for the predator (Banci et al., 2017). Moreover, in ophiophagous snakes the attempted ingestion of very long or thick-bodied prey can lead to regurgitation or even death by asphyxiation, as reported for the genus *Micrurus* (Cavalcanti et al., 2012; Marques et al., 2017).

References

Aguiar L.F.S. 2008. História natural de *Micrurus altirostris* (Cope, 1860) (Serpentes, Elapidae, Elapinae). Tese de Doutorado. Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

Almeida P.C.R, Prudente A.L.C., Curcio F.,Rodrigues M.T.U. 2016. Biologia e História Natural das cobras-corais. Pp. 168–215, in: Silva N.J. Jr. (Org), As cobras-corais do Brasil: biologia, taxonomia, venenos e envenenamentos. Ed. PUC, Goiás.

Arévalo-Páez M., Montes-Correa A.C., Rada-Vargas E., Saboyá-Acosta L.P., Renjifo J.M. 2015. Notes on the diet of the Pigmy Coral Snake *Micrurus dissoleucus* (Cope, 1860) in northern Colombia (Serpentes: Elapidae). *Herpetology Notes* 8: 39–41.

Ávila R.W., Kawashita-Ribeiro R.A., Ferreira V.L., Strüssmann C. 2010. Natural history of the coral snake *Micrurus pyrrhocryptus* Cope 1862 (Elapidae) from semideciduous forests of western Brazil. *South American Journal of Herpetology* 5:97–101.

Banci K.R.S., Torello-Viera N.F., Freitas A.C., Marques O.A.V. 2017. Feeding on elongate prey: additional data for the coral snake *Micrurus corallinus* (Merrem, 1820) (Elapidae) and comments on aposematism. *Herpetology Notes* 10:335–338.

Barbosa V.N., Amaral J.M.S., Lima L.F.L., Santos E.M. 2019. *Micrurus ibiboboca* (Merrem, 1820) feeding behavior - regurgitant ingestion. *Natureza online* 17:61–63.

Cavalcanti L.B.Q., Santos-Protázio A., Albuquerque R.C., Pedro C.K.B., Mesquita, D.O. 2012. Death of a coral snake *Micrurus ibiboboca* (Merrem, 1820) (Elapidae) due to failed predation on bigger prey: a cat-eyed night snake *Leptodeira annulata* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Dipsadidae). *Herpetology Notes* 5:129–131.

Cisneros-Heredia D.F. 2005. Predation upon *Amphisbaena fuliginosa* Linnaeus, 1758 by *Micrurus ancoralis* (Jan, 1872). *Herpetozoa* 18:93–94.

Greene, H.W. 1997. Snakes: The evolution of Mystery in nature. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Maffei F., Nascimento G.R., Neto D.G. 2009. Predation on the lizard *Ameiva ameiva* (Sauria: Teiidae) by a coral snake *Micrurus frontalis* (Serpentes: Elapidae) in Brazil. *Herpetology Notes* 2:235–237.

Marques O.A.V. 1992. História natural de *Micrurus corallinus* (Serpentes: Elapidae). Dissertação de Mestrado. Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil.

Marques O.A.V. 2002. Natural history of the coral snake *Micrurus decoratus* (Elapidae) from the Atlantic Forest in southeast Brazil, with comments of

possible mimicry. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 23:228–232.

Marques O.A.V., Sazima I. 1997. Diet and feeding behavior of the coral snake, *Micrurus corallinus*, from the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. *Herpetological Natural History* 5:88–93.

Marques O.A.V., Coeti R.Z., Braga P., Sazima I. 2017. A rotten choice: feeding attempt by a coral snake (*Micrurus frontalis*) on a dead pitviper (*Bothrops jararaca*) that had swallowed a bulky rodent. *Herpetology Notes* 10:137–139.

Nogueira C.C., Argôlo A.J.S., Arzamendia V., Azevedo J.A., Barbo F.E., Bérnils R.S., ... Martins M. 2019. Atlas of Brazilian Snakes: Verified Point-Locality Maps to Mitigate the Wallacean Shortfall in a Megadiverse Snake Fauna. *South American Journal of Herpetology*, 14 (Special Issue,1):1–274.

Rodríguez M.E., Arzamendia V., Bellini G.P., Giraudo A.R. 2018. Natural history of the threatened coral snake *Micrurus altirostris* (Serpentes: Elapidae) in Argentina. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 89:1255–1262.

Sazima I, Abe A.S. 1991. Habits of five Brazilian snakes with coral-snake pattern, including a summary of defensive tactics. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 26:159–164.

Silva N.J. Jr., Sites J,W. Jr. 1999. Revision of the *Micrurus frontalis* complex (Serpentes: Elapidae). *Herpetological Monographs* 13:142–194.

Silva N.J. Jr., Aird S.D. 2001. Prey specificity, comparative lethality and compositional differences of coral snake venoms. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 128:425–456.

Silva N.J. Jr., Pires M.G., Feitosa D.T. 2016. Diversidade das cobras-corais do Brasil. Pp. 79–167, n: Silva N.J. Jr. (Org), As cobras-corais do Brasil: biologia, taxonomia, venenos e envenenamentos. Ed. PUC, Goiás.

Souza S.M., Junqueira A.B., Jakovac A.C.C., Assunção P.A. Correia J.A. 2011. Feeding behavior and ophiophagous habits of two poorly known amazonian coral snakes, *Micrurus albicinctus* Amaral 1926 and *Micrurus paraensis* Cunha and Nascimento 1973 (Squamata, Elapidae). *Herpetology Notes* 4:369–372.

Terribile L.C., Silva N.J. Jr. 2005. *Micrurus decoratus* (Decorated Coralsnake). Diet. *Herpetological Review* 36:457.

Vanzolini P.E. 2002. An aid to the identification of the South American species of Amphisbaena (Squamata, Amphisbaenidae). *Papeis Avulsos de Zoologia* 42:351–362.

Editor: Henrique C. Costa

Figura 1. *Amphisbaena dubia* (IBSPCR 1127). Poorly digested prey regurgitated by *M. frontalis*.



Girino *Phasmahyla exilis*
Santa Teresa - ES
@ Alexander T. Mônico