

Defensive behavior of *Adelophryne nordestina* (Anura: Eleutherodactylidae) in an Atlantic Forest fragment in Northeast Brazil

Laiana Carla de Moura^{1,2*}, Igor Joventino Roberto³, Ednilza Maranhão dos Santos¹

1 Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biodiversidade e Conservação. Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Unidade Acadêmica de Serra Talhada, Serra Talhada, PE, Brasil.

2 Graduate School of Global Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan.

3 Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Faculdade de Filosofia Dom Aureliano Matos, 62.930-000, Limoeiro do Norte, CE, Brasil.

* Corresponding author: laianamoura@gmail.com

Editora de Área: Ariadne Sabbag

Submetido em: 14/01/2025

Aceito em: 10/10/2025

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17376855](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17376855)

Resumo

A predação é uma das pressões seletivas mais significativas que afetam a sobrevivência na natureza, levando as espécies a desenvolverem estratégias de defesa decisivas. Os anuros exibem um repertório diverso de comportamentos defensivos voltados à proteção dos indivíduos em diferentes estágios da predação, mas a função desses comportamentos varia entre as espécies. Este estudo documenta comportamentos defensivos observados na rã miniaturizada *Adelophryne nordestina*. Observamos comportamentos de mouth gaping e de death feigning (tanatose) em uma pequena parcela dos indivíduos amostrados, sem correlação entre o tamanho corporal e a presença dos comportamentos defensivos. Comportamentos semelhantes foram observados de forma pontual em outras espécies do mesmo gênero e entre outros anuros miniaturizados. Dada a baixa prevalência desses comportamentos nesta espécie, estratégias defensivas alternativas, como a coloração críptica, podem desempenhar um papel mais decisivo na sobrevivência da espécie. Informações sobre os predadores de *A. nordestina* são necessárias para esclarecer o papel de cada estratégia na interação predador-presa.

Palavras-chave: Coloração críptica, Estratégia de defesa, Predação, Rãs miniaturizadas, Tanatose.

Abstract

Predation is one of the most significant selective pressures affecting survival in nature, compelling species to develop crucial defense strategies. Anurans exhibit a diverse repertoire of defensive behaviors aimed at safeguarding individuals across various stages of predation, but the roles of these behaviors differ among species. This study documents defensive behaviors observed in the miniaturized frog *Adelophryne nordestina*. We observed mouth gaping and death feigning behaviors in a small subset of the sampled individuals, without correlation between individual size and the defensive behaviors. Similar behaviors have been serendipitously observed in other species within the genus and among other miniaturized anurans. Given their low prevalence in this species, alternative defensive strategies such as cryptic coloration may play a more decisive role in species survival. Information about predators of *A. nordestina* is needed to clarify the role of each strategy on their predator-prey interaction.

Key words: Death Feigning, Miniaturized frogs, Mouth Gaping, Predation, Thanatosis.

INTRODUCTION

Predation is one of the major selective pressures acting on species and the use of efficient defense strategies against predators is indispensable for species survival. Anurans are recognized for having a wide range of defensive characteristics including morphological, physiological, or behavioral that may be expressed permanently or only in the presence of a potential predator (Duellman & Trueb, 1994; Toledo et al., 2011; Ferreira et al., 2019). Various defense mechanisms are often expressed simultaneously by the same individual, increasing the chances of success. Some species of the genus *Proceratophrys* Miranda-Ribeiro, 1920, for example, have been observed combining their typical cryptic coloration with behavioral defenses, such as inflating the body or stiffening legs, distress call (Mângia & Garda, 2015) and the discharge of secretions (Meneses & Correa, 2020).

Mouth-gaping, according to Toledo et al. (2011), is a defensive behavior that involves the opening of the mouth in the presence of a predator, either once or repeatedly, with the intention of avoiding subjugation through predator intimidation. This defensive behavior can be combined with other behaviors, such as distress calls and bites, or revealing contrasting colors of the tongue or mouth in some species (Duellman & Trueb, 1994; Toledo et al., 2011; Ferreira et al., 2019). Mouth-gaping in miniature species may serve as a mechanism to confuse invertebrate predators. In contrast, death feigning, or thanatosis, is the behavior of assuming a motionless posture resembling that of a dead animal. Some species may exhibit aposematic coloration on the ventral surface or limbs (Ferreira et al., 2019). This behavior allows the frog to avoid predation by deceiving predators, especially those reliant on movement to detect prey, and the prey avoids injury from predators. It is one of the most frequently reported defensive behaviors in anurans (Toledo et al., 2010; Ferreira et al., 2019).

The genus *Adelophryne* Hoogmoed and Lescure, 1984, is currently composed of 12 species of miniaturized and cryptic frogs with a maximum snout-vent length (SVL) of 23 mm, inhabiting the leaf litter in the Brazilian Atlantic

Forest, Amazon, and the Guiana Shield (Fouquet et al., 2012; Frost, 2025). Until now, ecological studies of the genus were restricted to *Adelophryne maranguapensis* Hoogmoed et al., 1994 and *A. baturitensis* Hoogmoed et al., 1994 (Cassiano-Lima et al., 2011; Cassiano-Lima et al., 2020; Oliveira et al., 2022; Araújo et al., 2023). Information on the ecology and behavior of other *Adelophryne* species is limited to their species descriptions or serendipitous observations.

Adelophryne nordestina Lourenço-de-Moraes et al. 2021 is found in the Atlantic Forest of Northeast Brazil with three known populations with disjunct distribution in Paraíba, Pernambuco, and Alagoas States (Lourenço-de-Moraes et al., 2021). In this study, we report our observations on the defensive behavior of *A. nordestina*, in a “Brejo de Altitude”, a remnant of Atlantic Forest inserted on the semiarid environment of Caatinga ecoregion, of Northeastern Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Parque Nacional Municipal Professor João Vasconcelos Sobrinho, a conservation unit on the Serra dos Cavalos mountain range (-8.373°, -36.026°), in Pernambuco, Brazil. We observed frog populations for 42 days from November 2021 to September 2022. Males were calling during every month of the study period, from early morning until nightfall. As part of a broader study, we collected a few individuals each month, measured them using digital calipers (accuracy of 0.01 mm), and determined their sex through direct observation of the gonads. The specimens were deposited in the Herpetological Collection of the Federal University of Ceará (CHUFC). To assess whether there is a relationship between the displayed defensive behavior and the size of the individuals, we performed a Welch's test using Jamovi 2.3 (The Jamovi Project, 2022).



Figure 1. A, B) Male *Adelophryne nordestina* exhibiting mouth-gaping behavior (UFC-A11226 and UFC-A11220, respectively). C) The female *A. nordestina* also exhibits mouth-gaping behavior (UFC-A11253). The specimens were photographed in a terrarium simulating their natural environment. Photos by Laiana Carla de Moura.

RESULTS

We recorded two defensive behaviors while the frogs were handled during photographing or weighing. Mouth-gaping was observed in eight individuals ($n = 4$, males; $n = 3$, females; $n = 1$, undetermined; Fig. 1) from a total of 86 adults ($n = 31$ males; $n = 50$, females; $n = 5$, undetermined). The mean SVL of individuals exhibiting mouth-gaping was 12.41 ± 0.90 mm (range 11.45 to 13.51 mm). Mouth-gaping behavior was not correlated with body size (Welch's $t = 0.08$). Death feigning behavior was observed in four males and one female. These frogs have a mean SVL of 11.84 ± 1.20 mm (range 10.39 to 13.55 mm). No significant difference was found in SVL between individuals displaying this defensive behavior and those that did not exhibit it (Welch's $t = 0.97$).

DISCUSSION

The mouth-gaping behavior has been reported in various unrelated anuran species. Recent comprehensive studies on defensive behaviors of anurans documented the occurrence of mouth-gaping in 66 species belonging to at least 16 families (Toledo et al., 2011, and Ferreira et al., 2019). Among miniaturized species, mouth-gaping is frequently observed in members of the genus *Brachycephalus* Fitzinger, 1826 (Toledo et al., 2011; Goutte et al., 2017; Ferreira et al., 2019; Nunes et al., 2021), that also inhabit the leaf litter of the Brazilian Atlantic Forest, a habitat similar to that of *Adelophryne*

nordestina. Among *Adelophryne*, mouth-gaping has been occasionally reported for species such as *A. glandulata* Lourenço de Moraes, Ferreira, Fouquet, and Bastos, 2014 (Lourenço-de-Moraes et al., 2014), which also exhibits fleeing, immobility, and body inflating behaviors, and *A. mucronata* Lourenço-de-Moraes, Solé, and Toledo, 2012 (Lourenço-de-Moraes et al., 2012). Despite being relatively well-studied in terms of reproduction (Cassiano-Lima et al., 2011; Cassiano-Lima et al., 2020), parasitism (Oliveira et al., 2022) and feeding ecology (Araújo et al., 2023), defensive behavior in *A. baturitensis* and *A. maranguapensis* has not been reported.

Similar to *A. nordestina*, no other *Adelophryne* species has been observed to exhibit biting behaviors or distress calls associated with mouth-gaping. Although distress calls (*sensu stricto* Toledo et al., 2014) are commonly emitted alongside mouth-gaping, they are produced only by medium to large-sized species and might be inefficient for smaller species (Toledo and Haddad, 2009; Forti et al., 2018). Similarly, biting behaviors are typical of larger species with wide mouths, whose jaws have stronger musculature and bony apparatus, resulting in a greater bite force (Duellman & Trueb, 1994; Toledo et al., 2011; Ferreira et al., 2019).

Although death feigning is the most common defense mechanism displayed by frogs, there are, to our knowledge, no studies on the prevalence of this behavior in anurans that exhibit other types of defensive behavior.

Nevertheless, the low prevalence of these defensive behaviors in *A. nordestina* may indicate that other defense mechanisms to avoid the detection and identification by the predator, such as cryptic coloration, can be more effective for the species' survival (Toledo & Haddad, 2009). Future natural history studies on this species should include investigating their predators to elucidate the effectiveness of this defense mechanism for *A. nordestina*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the management of Parque Natural, Professor João Vasconcelos Sobrinho, for allowing and supporting this research. Flávia Ribeiro, Adriano Andrade, and Ademário Sousa provided logistical support and assistance in the field expeditions. We are grateful to many lab colleagues for helping with the fieldwork and to Christine Kaiser for the review and valuable suggestions to improve this manuscript. Specimens were collected under license SISBio: 80239-1, granted by the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade – ICMBio, with collection procedures approved by the Comitê de Ética no Uso de Animais da Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco (CEUA No. 16/2007 – 11.01.02.06.06). IJR thanks the “Programa de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico Regional – PDCTR” (CNPq/Funcap– Notice 03/2021, DCT-0182-00049.01.00/21 and 04863348/2022) for the research grant and financial resources (PDCTR 301304/2022-0). LCM thanks the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Capes for the master's scholarship (Process No. 88887.670698/2022-00).

REFERENCES

- Araújo K.C., Cassiano-Lima D., Carolina Brasileiro A., Holanda Bezerra C., Waldemar Ávila R. 2023. What the minute shield frogs *Adelophryne* eat at a humid forest relict in Ceará state, northeastern Brazil. *North-Western Journal of Zoology* 19(1).
- Cassiano-Lima D., Borges-Nojosa D.M., Cascon P., Cechin S.Z. 2011. The reproductive mode of *Adelophryne maranguapensis* Hoogmoed, Borges & Cascon, 1994, (Anura, Eleutherodactylidae) an endemic and threatened species from Atlantic Forest remnants in northern Brazil. *North-Western Journal of Zoology* 7(1):92–97.
- Cassiano-Lima D., Lima A.V., Fortunato M.E., de Sousa T.A., de Castro D.P., Borges-Nojosa D.M., Cechin S.Z. 2020. Reproductive biology of direct developing and threatened frog *Adelophryne maranguapensis* (Anura, Eleutherodactylidae) reveals a cryptic reproductive mode for anurans and the first record of parental care for the genus. *Journal of Natural History* 54(27–28):1721–1733. doi:10.1080/00222933.2020.1830192.
- Duellman W.E., Trueb L. 1994. *Biology of Amphibians*. Baltimore: JHU Press.
- Ferreira R.B., Lourenço-de-Moraes R., Zocca C., Duca C., Beard K.H., Brodie E.D. Jr. 2019. Antipredator mechanisms of post-metamorphic anurans: a global database and classification system. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 73:1–21. doi:10.1007/s00265-019-2680-1.
- Forti L.R., Zornosa-Torres C., Marquez R., Toledo L.F. 2018. Ancestral state, phylogenetic signal and convergence among anuran distress calls. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 274:1–5. doi:10.1016/j.jcz.2018.02.004.
- Fouquet A., Loebmann D., Castroviejo-Fisher S., Padiá J.M., Orrico V.G., Lyra M.L., Rodrigues M.T. 2012. From Amazonia to the Atlantic forest: Molecular phylogeny of Phyzelaphryninae frogs reveals unexpected diversity and a striking biogeographic pattern emphasizing conservation challenges. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 65(2):547–561. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2012.07.012.
- Frost D.R. 2025. *Amphibian Species of the World: An Online Reference*. Version 6.2. American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA. Available at: <https://amphibiansoftheworld.amnh.org/index.php>. Accessed on 03 July. 2025. doi:10.5531/db.vz.0001.
- Goutte S., Mason M.J., Christensen-Dalsgaard J., Montealegre-Z F., Chivers B.D., Sarria-S F.A., Toledo L.F. 2017. Evidence of auditory insensitivity to vocalization frequencies in two frogs. *Scientific Reports* 7(1):12121. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-12145-5.
- Hoogmoed M.S., Borges D.M., Cascon P. 1994. Three new species of the genus *Adelophryne* (Amphibia: Anura: Leptodactylidae) from northeastern Brazil, with remarks on the other species of the genus. *Zoologische Mededelingen* 68(24):271–300.

- Lourenço-de-Moraes R., Ferreira F.B., Fouquet A., Bastos R.P. 2014. A new diminutive frog species of *Adelophryne* (Amphibia: Anura: Eleutherodactylidae) from the Atlantic Forest, southeastern Brazil. *Zootaxa* 3846(3):348–360. doi:[10.11646/zootaxa.3846.3.2](https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3846.3.2).
- Lourenço-de-Moraes R., Lisboa B.S., de Oliveira Drummond L., de Melo Moura C.C., De Moura G.J.B., Lyra M.L., Santana D.J. 2021. A new species of the genus *Adelophryne* (Anura: Eleutherodactylidae: Phyzelaphryninae) from the Atlantic Forest of Northeastern Brazil. *Herpetologica* 77(2):164–175. doi:[10.1655/Herpetologica-D-20-00022.1](https://doi.org/10.1655/Herpetologica-D-20-00022.1).
- Lourenço-de-Moraes R., Sole M., Toledo L.F. 2012. A new species of *Adelophryne* Hoogmoed and Lescure 1984 (Amphibia: Anura: Eleutherodactylidae) from the Atlantic rainforest of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Zootaxa* 3441(1):59–68. doi:[10.11646/zootaxa.3441.1.6](https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3441.1.6).
- Mângia S., Garda A. 2015. Defensive behaviours of *Proceratophrys cristiceps* (Müller, 1883) (Amphibia, Anura, Odontophrynidae). *Herpetological Notes* 8:11–14.
- Meneses A.S.O., Corrêa B.A.A.P. 2020. Defensive behaviors in two *Proceratophrys* species (Anura: Odontophrynidae) from central Brazilian Cerrado. *Cuadernos de Herpetología* 34. doi:[10.31017/CdH.2020.\(2020-039\)](https://doi.org/10.31017/CdH.2020.(2020-039)).
- Nunes I., Guimarães C.S., Moura P.H.A., Pedrozo M., de Toledo Moroti M., Castro L.M., ... Muscat E. 2021. Hidden by the name: A new fluorescent pumpkin toadlet from the *Brachycephalus ephippium* group (Anura: Brachycephalidae). *Plos one* 16(4):e0244812. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0244812](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244812).
- Oliveira C.R., Lima D.C., Ávila R.W., Borges-Nojosa D.M. 2022. Endoparasites of *Adelophryne maranguapensis* Hoogmoed, Borges & Cascon, 1994 (Anura, Eleutherodactylidae), an endemic and threatened species from an altitude swamp in northeastern Brazil. *Parasitology Research* 121(3):1053–1057. doi:[10.1007/s00436-022-07458-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-022-07458-y).
- The Jamovi Project (2022). Jamovi computer software. (Version 2.3). <https://www.jamovi.org>.
- Toledo L.F., Haddad C.F. 2009. Colors and some morphological traits as defensive mechanisms in anurans. *International Journal of Zoology* 2009. doi:[10.1155/2009/910892](https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/910892).
- Toledo L.F., Martins I.A., Bruschi D.P., Passos M.A., Alexandre C., Haddad C.F. 2014. The anuran calling repertoire in the light of social context. *Acta Ethologica* 18:87–99. doi:[10.1007/s10211-014-0194-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10211-014-0194-4).
- Toledo L.F., Sazima I., Haddad C.F. 2010. Is it all death feigning? Case in anurans. *Journal of Natural History* 44:31–32. doi:[10.1080/00222931003624804](https://doi.org/10.1080/00222931003624804).
- Toledo L.F., Sazima I., Haddad C.F. 2011. Behavioural defences of anurans: an overview. *Ethology Ecology & Evolution* 23(1):1–25. doi:[10.1080/03949370.2010.534321](https://doi.org/10.1080/03949370.2010.534321).



Laiana Carla de Moura “holds a bachelor’s degree in Biological Sciences from Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil), a master’s degree in Biodiversidade e Conservação from Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco (Serra Talhada, Pernambuco, Brazil), and is currently a Ph.D. candidate in Global Environmental Studies at Kyoto University (Kyoto, Kyoto, Japan). Her research focuses on the ecology, natural history, and conservation of amphibians, as well as on science communication.”



Igor Joventino Roberto “is a Biologist who graduated from the Universidade Federal do Ceará (Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil), and earned his Ph.D. in Zoology from the Universidade Federal do Amazonas (Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil). Currently Igor is an Assistant Professor of Zoology at the Universidade Estadual do Ceará (UECE-FAFIDAM) in Limoeiro do Norte, Ceará, Brazil, and is a member of the Crocodile Specialist Group of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). His research is focused on systematics, taxonomy, natural history, and conservation of amphibians and reptiles in northeastern Brazil. (Photographed by Coleman M. Sheehy, III).”



Ednilza Maranhão dos Santos “is an Associate Professor at the Universidade Federal Rural of Pernambuco (Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil), Department of Biology, and coordinator of the Interdisciplinary Laboratory of Amphibians and Reptiles. She holds a Ph.D. in Psychobiology, with a focus on Behavioral Ecology, and has been systematically engaged in the study of amphibians and reptiles, particularly through research projects conducted in the Atlantic Forest and Caatinga biomes.”